

Authors:

K. MITRASZEWSKI¹, J. BLEEG², T. LEVICK², M. FERNANDES³ C. MONTAVON⁴ 1. DNV, Mokotowska 1, Zebra Tower, 00-640 Warsaw, Poland 2. DNV, One Linear Park, Avon St, Temple Quay, Bristol BS2 0PS, United Kingdom 3. DNV, Av. Infante Santo, 43-1° Esq, 1350-177 Lisbon, Portugal 4. DNV, Utrechtseweg 310-B50, Utrechtseweg 310-B50, Netherlands



CFD.ML - A NEW HOPE FOR RAPID TURBINE INTERACTION MODELLING?



The industry realized that popular engineering wake models, although fast, struggle to accurately predict wake and blockage lossess, especially at contemporary, large-scale wind farm clusters offshore. Sites with frequently occuring stable stratification also present a challenge for today's engineering models.



A next-generation turbine interaction model, capturing



A new, machine learning based approach to turbine interaction

Model validation exercises are ongoing across the industry and so are activities around new model developments. The latter focus on striking the right balance between model fidelity and computational efficiency.

- flow physics better than today's engineering models:
- Wakes & blockage captured together on a perturbine, per-flowcase basis, enabling simulation of complex flow features occuring especially at large wind farms
- Accurate predictions of internal wakes, external wakes (including long-distance cluster wake interactions), and also the global blockage effect.
- **Fast** enough for iterative wind farm design workflows
- modeling:
- **CFD.ML = a RANS CFD surrogate model** approximating high-fidelity wind farm flow simulations with good accuracy, requiring only a fraction of the time needed to run full CFD.
- Graph neural networks (GNNs) succesfully trained to encode the wind speed deficit/speed-up patterns evident in RANS CFD simulations of real life wind farms
- Until recently, used in DNV to increase CFD RANS directional resolution (interpolation between high-fidelity runs)
- Now released as a stand-alone turbine interaction model •

RESULTS

WHAT'S A GRAPH NEURAL **NETWORK (GNN)?**

• A type of neural network well suited for learning physical interaction between objects

- allows for a varying number of inputs
- is order invariant





Graph vertices = turbines

Graph edges = Aggregating relationships all impacts on the i-th turbine between turbines

 $v_1' = \emptyset_v(\bar{\boldsymbol{e}}_1') \leftarrow \bar{\boldsymbol{e}}_1' = \sum_j \boldsymbol{e}_j'$

The GNN predicts wind speed deficits and/or speed-ups at the every turbine caused by wakes & blockage impacts of its neighbors as function of:

- downwind and crosswind distance to the (wake&block.) sending turbine
- Ct, rotor and hub-height of the sender
- Relative position in the array
- [Future] ABL properties, stability, turbulence, etc.

HOW WELL CAN CFD.ML REPLICATE RANS CFD?



(wakes & blockage)

 $e_{1.5}' = \phi_e(e_{1.5})$

A Graph Neural Network Surrogate Model for the Prediction of Turbine nteraction Loss Read more: cite this article: James Bleeg 2020 J. Phys.: Conf. Ser. 1618 062054

Relative power ouput [-]

VALIDATION - INTERNAL WAKES & BLOCKAGE PATTERNS

 $\Delta P = P_{\text{modelled}} - P_{\text{measured}}$ $MBE_P = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^n \Delta P_i}{N}$ $rMBE_P = \frac{MBE_P}{\overline{p}}$ **6 Offshore Wind Farms** M1 L1 L2 XL1 XL2 **S1** 0.0 0.0 -1.9 -0.5 0.5 0.8 SEVM Mode -0.7 -0.9 -2.0 -2.0 -0.7 3.0 CFD.ML wfEV -0.9 -1.8 -1.7 -0.9 -2.0 0.3 120D Read more: Undisturbed inflow to a large offshore Validating the next generation of turbine wind farm nteraction models e this article: T Levick et al 2022 J. Phys.: Conf. Ser. 2257 01201

(Neutral) CFD.ML validation summary:

PAPER • OPEN ACCESS

- slight overprediction of internal wakes, but
- **visibly smaller spread** of the error (not shown)
- good predictive skill of blockage induced variations on a per-turbine basis
- underprediction of cluster wakes (not shown)



OUTLOOK

- To address the issues revealed in validation, we are working on parametrizing different atmospheric stability conditions and boundary layers in the GNN.
- And we will extend the training set with stable CFD simulations having WRFinformed boundary conditions.
- Our hopes are high that this will improve model accuracy significantly and deliver a future-ready solution for turbine interaction modeling!

Wake Wars, Episode IV: A New Hope



CONCLUSIONS

- CFD.ML as a stand-alone turbine interaction model is a **promising approach.**

 - accurate and fast enough
 - trained on a high-fidelity model derived from first principles, hence no tuning to historic 10-min SCADA dataset involved (future-ready) •
 - while we are still working on major enhancements to CFD.ML, it already is available for testing in beta and free of charge!
 - we seek collaboration partners willing to participate in validation efforts onshore and offshore
- CFD.ML may also be "anchored locally" to CFD simulations of one particular wind farm site, effectively enabling layout design iterations based on high-fidelity flow & wake modeling.

REFERENCES

- James Bleeg 2020 J.Phys.: Conf. Ser. 1618 062054
- <u>T Levick et al 2020 J. Phys.: Conf. Ser. 2257 012010</u>
- Mitraszewski K et al, AI for turbine interactions, WindEurope Technology workshop 2023, Lyon
- DNV webinar recording (Aug 2023): Addressing offshore wake prediction biases [LINK]



CONTACT INFORMATION

Karol.mitraszewski@dnv.com James.bleeg@dnv.com Tom.levick@dnv.com